

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

United State Patent and Trademark Office
Address: GOMMUSSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO. Bbx 1450
Abxardria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/789,426	•	02/27/2004	Stefan Biedenstein	13911-106001 / 2004P00006	5680	
32864	7590	08/11/2006	·	EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C.				VY, HUNG T		
PO BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2163	2163 DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006	
				DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/789,426	BIEDENSTEIN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Hung T. Vy	2163	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISTRICT IN THE MAILING DISTRICT IN THE MAILING DISTRICT IN THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DISTRICT IN THE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DISTRICT IN THE MONTH IN THE	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)□ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> i	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine of the deriving (a) filed as 40 forms 2004 is/are as 50.0 forms 2004 is/are as 50	over election requirement.		
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 June 2004</u> is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority ı	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)□ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received.  ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2) D Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/14/2004</u> .	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

## DETAILED ACTION Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 7/14/2004. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: in the line 4, after the phase "the data" should be added in "is". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth insection 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 5, 7-9, 13 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Arras et al. (U.S. pub. No. 2006/0010147) in view of Rys et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0101194).

With respect to claims 1 and 9, Arras et al. discloses a method of aggregating data in an information management system and an information management system, the method and system comprising: a database, a computer (see claim 18), a SQL in order to obtain user-defined list of results (see paragraph 0016), it is obvious that buffering at least one dimension value corresponding to each key figure (pivot view) (see paragraph 0047) because before to execute the program or query, the program

usually is loaded to the buffer or catch and waiting for executing; aggregating the dimension values to generate an aggregate key (pivot table) (see paragraph 0046), aggregating key figures (pivot view) corresponding to the same aggregate key to generate one or more aggregate key figures (pivot view) (see paragraph 0048) and displaying the response to the search on a display device, wherein the response includes at least one aggregate key figure (see fig. 1) but Arras et al. does not explicitly how to use the SQL to create a query, filtering the database based on the query to generate a list of results. However, Rys et al. discloses a query for a response to a search on a database (see paragraph 0033 and fig. 6), loading data from the database into a memory (see paragraph 0057 and fig. 6), filtering the dataset based on the query to generate a list of results (table)(see fig. 6). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Arras et al.'s invention by using the SQL query to create the list of result (table) in order to have database as list of result since such using the query to generate the list of result for the stated purpose has been well known in the art as evidenced by the teaching of Rys et al. (see paragraph 0009).

With respect to claims 5 and 13, Rys et al. discloses loading data from the database compresses loading data into a plurality of memories (see fig. 7).

With respect to claims 7-8 and 15-16, Arras et al. discloses organizing the data in the memory as column of the database and concatenating the dimension value (see fig. 1).

Art Unit: 2163

5. Claims 2 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Arras et al. (U.S. pub. No. 2006/0010147) and Rys et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0101194) and further in view of Zuk (U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0199535).

With respect to claims 2 and 10, Arras et al. and Rys et al. discloses all limitation recited in claims 1 or claim 9 except for generating a hash key based on the aggregate key and storing in a hash table. However, Zuk discloses generating a hash key based on the aggregate key and storing in a hash table (see fig. 9). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Arras et al.'s invention and Rys et al.'s invention to have generating a hash key and storing in a hash table in order to store the data can be fast since such using hash to store the data for the stated purpose has been well known in the art as evidenced by the teaching of Zuk (see paragraph 0015).

6. Claims 3-4 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Arras et al. (U.S. pub. No. 2006/0010147) and Rys et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0101194) and further in view of Singh (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0152219).

With respect to claims 3-4 and 11-12, Arras et al. and Rys et al. discloses all limitation recited in claim 1 or claim 9 except for compression algorithm. However, Singh discloses compression algorithm (see paragraph 0001). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Arras et al.'s invention and Rys et al.'s invention to use a compression algorithm is dictionary-base compression in order to generate the query fast in database for the

Application/Control Number: 10/789,426

Art Unit: 2163

stated purpose has been well known in the art as evidenced by the teaching of Singh (see paragraph 0001).

7. Claims 6 and 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Arras et al. (U.S. pub. No. 2006/0010147) and Rys et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0101194) and further in view of Koskas (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0093522).

With respect to claims 6 and 14, Arras et al. and Rys et al. discloses all limitation recited in claim 1 except for filtering the data based on the query is performed blockwise. However, Koskas discloses filtering the data based on the query is performed blockwise (see paragraph 0166 and 0334). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Arras et al.'s invention and Rys et al.'s invention to have filtering the data based on the query is performed blockwise in order to take maximum advantage of the access and loading the data for the stated purpose has been well known in the art as evidenced by the teaching of Singh (see paragraph 0334).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung T. Vy whose telephone number is 571-2721954. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30am - 5.30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571 272 1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2163

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

August 4, 2006.

H.V

DON WONG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100